## LAB 3:

# Let's say that some piece of software told you that one of your graphs was best described by the equation: 

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{A} \cos \mathrm{Bt}+\mathrm{C} \\
\text { If so, what's } \frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{dt}} ? \\
\text { What's } \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{dt}^{2}} ?
\end{gathered}
$$

In this case, can you say that

$$
\left.\frac{\mathbf{d}^{2} \mathbf{y}}{\mathrm{dt}^{2}}=- \text { (constant }\right) \mathbf{y} ?
$$

If not, whynot?
If so, this means that your datareveals simpleharmonic oscillation of somekind,right?

> If so. what's the constant?

If so.WHAT isharmonicallyoscillating? Is it anobject? A type of numerical value?
If so, does that mean this wholelab is justanother repeated look at just another oscillator?
Canyouidentifyor describe anyaspect of thislab
that was not already covered in either the spring or stringlabs of yester - week?

## Look at the OTHER Graph.

If software said: $y=A \cos B x+C$.
then what is $\frac{d y}{d x}$ ?

$$
\text { what is } \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}} ?
$$

## Is THIS SHO?

Why or why not?

Imagine that a third, final and amazingly advanced piece of software asserted:
"Your two graphs tell me that you have two phenomena occuring at the same time - each of which bears a strong resemblence to SHO.

You are showing me two imperfect SHO's happening together.
But each one of them is imperfect in a different way. And the imperfection fit together - - almost perfectly."

Would you agree with this software? disagree? understand? misunderstand? think a lot about it and respond to the software with a long and considered answer...

